AA203 Optimal and Learning-based Control

Direct methods for optimal control, sequential convex programming (SCP)







Optimal control problem

$$\min \int_0^{t_f} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), \ t \in [0, t_f]$$

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t_f) \in M_f = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, \ t \in [0, t_f]$$

For simplicity:

- We assume the terminal cost *h* is equal to 0
- We assume $t_0 = 0$

- Indirect Methods:
 - 1. Apply necessary conditions for optimality to (**OCP**)
 - 2. Solve a two-point boundary value problem
- Direct Methods:
 - 1. Transcribe (**OCP**) into a nonlinear, constrained optimization problem
 - 2. Solve the optimization problem via nonlinear programming

Direct methods

Resources:

- <u>Notes Chapter 5</u> and references therein, and also:
 - Rao A. V., "A survey of numerical methods for optimal control," 2009.
 - Kelly, M., "An Introduction to Trajectory Optimization," 2017.

Transcription methods

Optimization: what are the decision variables?

- 1. State and control parameterization methods
 - "Collocation"/"simultaneous"
- 2. Control parameterization methods
 - "Shooting"

Transcription into nonlinear programming (state and control parametrization method)

$$\min \int_{0}^{t_{f}} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$

$$\frac{\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), t \in [0, t_{f}]}{\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}}$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t_{f}) \in M_{f} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}, t \in [0, t_{f}]$$

$$\mathbf{MLOP}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_{i} + h_{i}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i}, t_{i}), \quad i = 0, ..., N - 1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{i} \in U, i = 0, ..., N - 1 , \quad F(\mathbf{x}_{N}) = 0$$

Forward Euler time discretization:

- 1. Select a discretization $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t_f$ for the interval $[0, t_f]$ and, for every $i = 0, \dots, N 1$, define $\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathbf{x}(t)$, $\mathbf{u}_i \sim \mathbf{u}(t)$, $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ and $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim \mathbf{x}(0)$
- 2. By denoting $h_i = t_{i+1} t_i$, (**OCP**) is transcribed into the following nonlinear, constrained optimization problem

4/17/2024

AA 203 | Lecture 6

Illustrative example: Zermelo's Problem

(OCP)

$$\min \int_{0}^{t_{f}} u(t)^{2} dt \dot{x}(t) = v \cos(u(t)) + flow(y(t)), t \in [0, t_{f}] \dot{y}(t) = v \sin(u(t)), t \in [0, t_{f}] (x, y)(0) = 0, (x, y)(t_{f}) = (M, \ell) |u(t)| \le u_{max}, t \in [0, t_{f}]$$



Example: Zermelo's Problem (state and control parametrization method)

• Transcribe optimal control problem into a non-linear program, and solve it via fmincon (MATLAB), scipy.optimize.minimize (python), etc.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(OCP)} & \min \int_{0}^{t_{f}} u(t)^{2} dt \\ \dot{x}(t) = v \cos(u(t)) + \operatorname{flow}(y(t)), t \in [0, t_{f}] \\ \dot{y}(t) = v \sin(u(t)), t \in [0, t_{f}] \\ (x, y)(0) = 0, \ (x, y)(t_{f}) = (M, \ell) \\ |u(t)| \leq u_{max}, \ t \in [0, t_{f}] \end{array} \qquad (\text{NLOP}) \qquad \min_{\substack{(x_{i}, u_{i}) \\ i = 0}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h \ u_{i}^{2} \\ x_{i+1} = x_{i} + h\big(v \cos(u_{i}) + \operatorname{flow}(y_{i})\big) \\ y_{i+1} = y_{i} + h \ v \sin(u_{i}), \ |u_{i}| \leq u_{max} \\ (x_{0}, y_{0}) = 0, \ (x_{N}, y_{N}) = (M, \ell) \end{array}$$

Results



Transcription into nonlinear programming (control parametrization method)

 $\min \int_{0}^{t_{f}} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt \qquad (NLOP-C) \qquad \min_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_{i}g(\mathbf{x}(t_{i}), \mathbf{u}_{i}, t_{i}) \\ \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), \ t \in [0, t_{f}] \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_{0} \\ \mathbf{x}(t_{f}) \in M_{f} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \\ \mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}, \ t \in [0, t_{f}] \end{cases} \qquad (NLOP-C) \qquad \min_{\mathbf{u}_{i}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_{i}g(\mathbf{x}(t_{i}), \mathbf{u}_{i}, t_{i}) \\ \mathbf{u}_{i} \in U, \ i = 0, \dots, N-1, \qquad F(\mathbf{x}(t_{N})) = 0 \\ \text{where each } \mathbf{x}(t_{i}) \text{ is recursively computed via} \\ \mathbf{x}(t_{i+1}) = \mathbf{x}(t_{i}) + h_{i}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t_{i}), \mathbf{u}_{i}, t_{i}), \ i = 0, \dots, N-1 \end{cases}$

Time and control discretization:

- 1. Select a discretization $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t_f$ for the interval $[0, t_f]$ and, for every $i = 0, \dots, N 1$, define $\mathbf{u}_i \sim \mathbf{u}(t)$, $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$
- 2. By denoting $h_i = t_{i+1} t_i$, (**OCP**) is transcribed into the following nonlinear, constrained optimization problem

4/17/2024

AA 203 | Lecture 6

Example: Zermelo's Problem (control parametrization method)

• Transcribe optimal control problem into a non-linear program, and solve it via fmincon (MATLAB), scipy.optimize.minimize (python), etc.

$$(OCP) \quad \min \int_{0}^{t_{f}} u(t)^{2} dt \\ \dot{x}(t) = v \cos(u(t)) + flow(y(t)), t \in [0, t_{f}] \\ \dot{y}(t) = v \sin(u(t)), t \in [0, t_{f}] \\ (x, y)(0) = 0, (x, y)(t_{f}) = (M, \ell) \\ |u(t)| \le u_{max}, t \in [0, t_{f}] \end{cases} \qquad (NLOP-C) \quad \min_{u_{i}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h u_{i}^{2} \\ (x, y)(t_{N}) = (M, \ell), \quad |u_{i}| \le u_{max} \\ where, recursively: \\ x_{N} = x_{0} + h \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (v \cos(u_{i}) + flow(y_{i})), \\ y_{i} = y_{0} + h \sum_{j=0}^{i} v \sin(u_{j}) \end{aligned}$$

Results





 $|u(t)| \le 1$ (effectively, no control constraint)





 $|u(t)| \le 0.75$

Example: Zermelo's Problem



$$\min_{(x_i,u_i)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h \, u_i^2$$

(NLOP)

 $\begin{aligned} x_{i+1} &= x_i + h \big(v \cos(u_i) + \text{flow}(y_i) \big) \\ y_{i+1} &= y_i + h \, v \sin(u_i) , \, |u_i| \le u_{max} \\ (x_0, y_0) &= 0 , \, (x_N, y_N) = (M, \ell) \end{aligned}$

Direct Transcription

Transcription methods: extensions

- Multiple shooting
 - Hybrid of simultaneous / (single) shooting methods
- Alternative trajectory parameterizations
 - Euler integration (above): piecewise linear effective state trajectory (C⁰), zero-order hold control trajectory
 - Hermite-Simpson collocation (see <u>Notes §5.2.1</u>): piecewise cubic effective state trajectory (C¹), first-order hold control trajectory
 - Dynamics constraint is enforced at "collocation points," exact form is derived by implicit integration
 - Pseudospectral methods: global polynomial basis functions (instead of piecewise polynomials)
 - Shooting methods: higher-order integration schemes (e.g., <u>RK4</u>)
 - Dynamics constraint is enforced by explicit integration

$$(\text{LOCP})_{1} \begin{array}{l} \min \int_{0}^{t_{f}} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) \ dt \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}_{1}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), \ t \in [0, t_{f}] \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}, \quad \mathbf{x}(t_{f}) = \mathbf{x}_{f} \\ \mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}, \ t \in [0, t_{f}] \end{array}$$

The sources of nonconvexities are the dynamics and (possibly) the cost. Idea: linearize (and convexify) them around nominal trajectories!

- 1. Assume that *g* is convex. Let $(\mathbf{x}_0(\cdot), \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot))$ be a nominal tuple of trajectory and control. $(\mathbf{x}_0(\cdot), \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot))$ does not need to be feasible!
- 2. Linearize **f** around $(\mathbf{x}_0(\cdot), \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot))$: $\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), t) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), t)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0(t)) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{u}_0(t), t)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0(t))$
- 3. Solve the new problem $(LOCP)_1$ for $(\mathbf{x}_1(\cdot), \mathbf{u}_1(\cdot))$

$$\min \int_{0}^{t_f} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), t \in [0, t_f]$$
$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}(t_f) = \mathbf{x}_f$$
$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, t \in [0, t_f]$$

The sources of nonconvexities are the dynamics and (possibly) the cost. Idea: linearize (and convexify) them around nominal trajectories!

4. Iterate this procedure until convergence is achieved: linearize **f** around the solution $(\mathbf{x}_k(\cdot), \mathbf{u}_k(\cdot))$ at iteration k:

$$\mathbf{f}_{k+1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_k(t),\mathbf{u}_k(t),t) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}_k(t),\mathbf{u}_k(t),t)(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_k(t)) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}_k(t),\mathbf{u}_k(t),t)(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_k(t))$$

and solve the problem $(LOCP)_{k+1}$ for $(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}(\cdot), \mathbf{u}_{k+1}(\cdot))$

$$\min \int_{0}^{t_f} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), \ t \in [0, t_f]$$
$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0, \qquad \mathbf{x}(t_f) = \mathbf{x}_f$$
$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, \ t \in [0, t_f]$$

Discretize and solve a convex problem at each iteration

- 1. Select a discretization $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t_f$ for the interval $[0, t_f]$ and, for every $i = 0, \dots, N 1$, define $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} \sim \mathbf{x}(t)$, $\mathbf{u}_i \sim \mathbf{u}(t)$, $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim \mathbf{x}(0)$
- 2. By denoting $h_i = t_{i+1} t_i$, (**LOCP**)_{*k*+1} is transcribed into the following convex optimization problem

$$(\mathsf{DLOCP})_{k+1} \qquad \min_{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}_i)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_i g(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}_i, t_i)$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + h_i \mathbf{f}_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}_i, t_i), i = 0, \dots, N-1$$
$$\mathbf{u}_i \in U, i = 0, \dots, N-1, \qquad \mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{x}_f$$

$$\min \int_{0}^{t_f} g(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t), t \in [0, t_f]$$
$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}(t_f) = \mathbf{x}_f$$
$$\mathbf{u}(t) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m, t \in [0, t_f]$$

$$(\mathsf{DLOCP})_{k+1} \qquad \min_{\substack{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}_i) \\ i=0}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_i g(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}_i, t_i)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + h_i \mathbf{f}_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}_i, t_i), i = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ \mathbf{u}_i \in U, i = 0, \dots, N-1, \qquad \mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{x}_f \end{cases}$$

SCP Methodology: at each iteration k,



AA 203 | Lecture 6



Direct Methods in Practice

"As you begin to play with these algorithms on your own problems, you might feel like you're on an emotional roller-coaster." – <u>Russ Tedrake</u>

- Better initial guess trajectories ("warm-starting" the optimization, as seen in zermelo_simultaneous)
- Cost function/constraint tuning (as seen in zermelo_scp)
 - Penalty methods; augmented Lagrangian-based solvers

Next time

- Dynamic programming
- Discrete LQR