Stanford Spring 2024

## AA 203: Optimal and Learning-based Control Homework #1 Due April 22 by 11:59 pm

## Learning goals for this problem set:

**Problem 1:** To gain insights into the implementation of gradient methods and review some notions of linear algebra.

**Problem 2:** To familiarize with Linear Quadratic control and learn a first algorithmic approach to this problem.

**Problem 3:** Become familiar with the process of solving calculus of variations problems.

**Problem 4:** To familiarize with the Hamiltonian equations for optimal control.

1.1 Gradient descent and line search. Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric positive-definite matrix, and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a given vector. Consider the quadratic optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} Q x - b^{\mathsf{T}} x.$$

Let  $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx - b^{\mathsf{T}}x$ , and denote the eigenvalues of Q as  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .

(a) Find the unique local minimum candidate  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove  $x^*$  is a global minimum.

*Hint:* Any twice-differentiable function f is strictly convex if the Hessian  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is positive-definite for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (b) Show that, starting from any initial point  $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , Newton's method with constant step size  $\eta = 1$  converges in one iteration to the optimal solution  $x^*$ . Hence, performing one step of Newton's method is equivalent to solving the linear system of equations Qx = b. What would be the downside of this solution method if n is large (e.g.,  $n \gg 10^4$ ) and the matrix Q has no particular structure?
- (c) Let  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix. By the Spectral Theorem, there exist an orthogonal matrix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and a diagonal matrix  $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  such that  $S = U \Sigma U^{\mathsf{T}}$ . Show  $||Sx||_2 = ||\Sigma U^{\mathsf{T}}x||_2$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then show  $||\Sigma z||_2 \leq \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} |\mu_i|||z||_2$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Finally, conclude that  $||Sx||_2 \leq \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} |\mu_i|||x||_2$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Hint: If  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is an orthogonal matrix, then  $||Uy||_2 = ||U^\mathsf{T}y||_2 = ||y||_2$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (d) For any  $\eta > 0$ , show that the eigenvalues of the matrix  $I \eta Q$  are exactly  $\{1 \eta \lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .
  - Hint: Identify an orthonormal basis of vectors  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(I \eta Q)v_i = (1 \eta \lambda_i)v_i$  for each i.
- (e) Consider the gradient descent update rule  $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} \eta \nabla f(x^{(k)})$  at iteration  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$  with a constant step size  $\eta > 0$ . Define  $\delta^{(k)} \coloneqq \|x^{(k)} x^*\|_2$  and  $\gamma(\eta) \coloneqq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} |1 \eta \lambda_i|$ . Use an inductive argument to show  $\delta^{(k)} \leq \gamma(\eta)^k \delta_0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ .

(f) Consider gradient descent with exact line search. At each iteration k, denote the descent direction by  $d^{(k)} := -\nabla f(x^{(k)})$  and the optimal step size by

$$\eta^{(k)} \coloneqq \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\eta \geq 0} f(x^{(k)} + \eta d^{(k)}).$$

Prove

$$\eta^{(k)} = \frac{\|d^{(k)}\|_2^2}{d^{(k)}^\mathsf{T} Q d^{(k)}}.$$

(g) For n=2 and  $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2+\gamma x_2^2)$  with  $\gamma=10$ , what is the optimal solution  $x^*$ ? Implement gradient descent with a constant step size and exact line search, starting from  $x^{(0)}=(5,1)$  and  $x^{(0)}=(1,5)$ . What do you observe with exact line search? When does gradient descent begin to "zig-zag"? What issue do you observe with a constant step size? Repeat both experiments with  $\gamma=1$ . Submit your plots.

## 1.2 LQR as a QP. Consider the Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) dynamical system

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t,$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  are given matrices, and  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are the system state and applied control input, respectively, at time  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the fixed initial state and  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  be some time horizon. Our goal is to find a sequence of control inputs  $u^* := (u_0^*, u_1^*, \dots, u_{T-1}^*) \in \mathbb{R}^{mT}$  that minimizes the quadratic cost

$$J(u) \coloneqq x_T^\mathsf{T} Q_T x_T + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( x_t^\mathsf{T} Q x_t + u_t^\mathsf{T} R u_t \right),$$

where  $Q_T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  are positive-definite matrices. Later, we will see how dynamic programming can be used to derive an elegant, recursive solution to this problem. For now, we study a convex least-squares formulation. Specifically, we reformulate the problem of minimizing J(u) as

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^{mT}} \frac{1}{2} u^{\mathsf{T}} \tilde{Q} u - \tilde{b}^{\mathsf{T}} u,$$

where  $u := (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{T-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{mT}$  is the vector of stacked control inputs,  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{mT \times mT}$  is a positive-definite matrix, and  $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{mT}$ .

- (a) Write down  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  in terms of  $Q_T$ , Q, R, A, B, and  $x_0$ .
- (b) With this reformulation, implement the gradient descent algorithm of your choice to compute the optimal sequence of control inputs  $u^*$  for

$$Q_T = 10I_2, \quad Q = I_2, \quad R = I_1, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = 20,$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix with dimension n. What is the optimal cost  $J(u^*)$ ?

## 1.3 Extremal curves. Given the functional

$$J(x) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{x}(t)^2 + 5x(t)\dot{x}(t) + x(t)^2 + 5x(t)\right)dt,$$

find an extremal curve  $x^*:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  that satisfies  $x^*(0)=1$  and  $x^*(1)=3$ .

**1.4 Zermelo's ship.** Zermelo's ship must travel through a region of strong currents. The position of the ship is denoted by  $(x(t), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The ship travels at a constant speed v > 0, yet its heading  $\theta(t)$  can be controlled. The current moves in the positive x-direction with speed w(y(t)). The equations of motion for the ship are

$$\dot{x}(t) = v \cos \theta(t) + w(y(t))$$
$$\dot{y}(t) = v \sin \theta(t)$$

We want to control the heading  $\theta(t)$  such that the ship travels from a given initial position  $(x(t_0), y(t_0)) = (x_0, y_0)$  to the origin (0, 0) in minimum time.

(a) Suppose  $w(y(t)) = \frac{v}{h}y(t)$ , where h > 0 is a known constant. Show that an optimal control law  $\theta^*(t)$  must satisfy a linear tangent law of the form

$$\tan \theta^*(t) = \alpha - \frac{v}{h}t$$

for some constant  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Suppose  $w(y(t)) \equiv \beta$  for some constant  $\beta > 0$ . Derive an expression for the optimal transfer time  $t_1^* - t_0$ .