AA203 Optimal and Learning-based Control

Nonlinearity: tracking LQR, iterative LQR, differential dynamic programming







LQR-style algorithms for optimal control

- Linear tracking problems
- Nonlinear tracking problems
- Using LQR techniques to solve nonlinear optimal control problems
 - Iterative LQR
 - Differential dynamic programming
- Readings: notes Section 3.1, 3.2 and references therein

Recapping LQR

• Minimize

$$J_0(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_N^T Q_N \mathbf{x}_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_k^T Q_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{u}_k^T R_k \mathbf{u}_k + 2\mathbf{x}_k^T H_k \mathbf{u}_k \right)$$

s.t. $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = A_k \mathbf{x}_k + B_k \mathbf{u}_k, \qquad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$

• Solved efficiently using dynamic programming by computing value function:

$$J_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \min_{\mathbf{u}_k} \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ \mathbf{u}_k \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_k & H_k \\ H_k^T & R_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ \mathbf{u}_k \end{bmatrix} + (A_k \mathbf{x}_k + B_k \mathbf{u}_k)^T P_{k+1} (A_k \mathbf{x}_k + B_k \mathbf{u}_k)$$

• Result:

$$\pi_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = L_k \mathbf{x}_k$$
$$J_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_k^T P_k \mathbf{x}_k$$

Recapping LQR

• Minimize

$$J_0(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_N^T Q_N \mathbf{x}_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_k^T Q_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{u}_k^T R_k \mathbf{u}_k + 2\mathbf{x}_k^T H_k \mathbf{u}_k \right)$$

s.t. $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = A_k \mathbf{x}_k + B_k \mathbf{u}_k, \qquad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$

• Solved efficiently using dynamic programming by computing value function:

$$J_{k}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = \min_{\mathbf{u}_{k}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{k} \\ \mathbf{u}_{k} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{k} & H_{k} \\ H_{k}^{T} & R_{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{k} \\ \mathbf{u}_{k} \end{bmatrix} + (A_{k}\mathbf{x}_{k} + B_{k}\mathbf{u}_{k})^{T} P_{k+1}(A_{k}\mathbf{x}_{k} + B_{k}\mathbf{u}_{k}) \right)$$
esult:

• R

$$\pi_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = L_k \mathbf{x}_k$$
$$J_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_k^T P_k \mathbf{x}_k$$

Q function (state-action value function)

Recapping LQR

• Can also generalize cost (adding linear/constant terms), and dynamics (adding affine term)

Minimize

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(\mathbf{x}_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_N \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_N & \mathbf{q}_N \\ \mathbf{q}_N^T & 2c_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_N \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} Q_k & \mathbf{q}_k \\ \mathbf{q}_k^T & 2c_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{u}_k^T R_k \mathbf{u}_k + 2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} H_k \\ \mathbf{r}_k^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

subject to dynamics

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_k & \mathbf{d}_k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \pi_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \begin{bmatrix} L_k & \ell_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} P_k & \mathbf{p}_k \\ \mathbf{p}_k^T & 2p_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4/25/2022

Linear tracking problems

• Imagine you are given a *nominal trajectory*

 $(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_N), (\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N-1})$

- Assume nominal trajectory satisfies linear dynamics
- Linear tracking problem: find policy to minimize cost $\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_N - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_N)^T Q_N(\boldsymbol{x}_N - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_N) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} [(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k)^T Q(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k) + (\boldsymbol{u}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)^T R(\boldsymbol{u}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)]$
- Then define deviation variables

$$\delta \boldsymbol{x}_k \coloneqq \boldsymbol{x}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_k$$
 and $\delta \boldsymbol{u}_k \coloneqq \boldsymbol{u}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_k$

and solve standard LQR with respect to deviation variables

Nonlinear tracking problems

• Imagine you are given a *feasible nominal trajectory*

 $(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_N), (\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N-1})$

- The tracking cost is still quadratic, but the dynamics are now nonlinear $x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k)$
- To apply LQR, we can linearize around the nominal trajectory

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} \approx f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k) + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)}_{A_k} \underbrace{(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k)}_{B_k} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)}_{B_k} \underbrace{(\boldsymbol{u}_k - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_k)}_{B_k}$$

• And apply LQR to the deviation variables (with dynamics $\delta x_{k+1} = A_k \delta x_k + B_k \delta u_k$)

Nonlinear optimal control problem

• Consider now nonlinear optimal control problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{u}_k)$$

subject to $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = f(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{u}_k)$

• Can we apply LQR-techniques to approximately solve it?

Iterative LQR

• Imagine you are given a *feasible nominal trajectory*

$$(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_N), (\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N-1})$$

• Linearize the dynamics around feasible trajectory

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} \approx \underbrace{f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k)}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k+1}} + \underbrace{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k)}_{A_k} \delta \mathbf{x}_k + \underbrace{f_{\mathbf{u}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k)}_{B_k} \delta \mathbf{u}_k$$

• And Taylor expand cost function around feasible trajectory

$$c(\delta \mathbf{x}_k, \delta \mathbf{u}_k) \approx c_k + \underbrace{c_{\mathbf{x},k}^T}_{\mathbf{q}_k} \delta \mathbf{x}_k + \underbrace{c_{\mathbf{u},k}^T}_{\mathbf{r}_k} \delta \mathbf{u}_k + \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{x}_k^T \underbrace{c_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x},k}}_{Q_k} \delta \mathbf{x}_k + \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{u}_k^T \underbrace{c_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u},k}}_{R_k} \delta \mathbf{u}_k + \delta \mathbf{x}_k^T \underbrace{c_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{u},k}}_{H_k} \delta \mathbf{u}_k$$

Iterative LQR

- By optimizing over deviation variables (using results for LQR with cross-quadratic cost & affine dynamics), we obtain new solution: $\{\overline{x}_k + \delta x_k^*\}$ and $\{\overline{u}_k + \delta u_k^*\}$
- We can then re-linearize and Taylor expand around this new trajectory, and iterate!

Iterative LQR

- Backward pass (k = N to 0):
 - Compute locally linear dynamics, locally quadratic cost around nominal trajectory
 - Solve local approximation of DP recursion to compute control law
 - Compute cost-to-go
- Forward pass (k = 0 to N):
 - Use optimal control policy to update nominal trajectory
 - Propagate full nonlinear dynamics *f*, not the linearized approximate dynamics!
- Iterate until convergence

Algorithmic details

- Need to make sure that the new state / control stay close to the linearization point
 - Add extra penalty on deviations
 - Apply a line search on policy rollout
- Need to decide on termination criterion
 - For example, one can stop when cost improvement is "small"
- Method can get stuck in local minima → "good" initialization is often critical
- Cost matrices may not be positive definite
 - Regularize them until they are
- Great collection of tips/tricks: <u>Yuval Tassa's thesis</u> (Section 2.2.3)

- iLQR first approximates dynamics and cost, then performs exact DP recursion
- DDP instead approximates DP recursion directly



In detail, consider the change in cost to go at timestep k under a perturbation $(\delta x_k, \delta u_k)$

 $Q_k(\delta \mathbf{x}_k, \delta \mathbf{u}_k) := c(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k + \delta \mathbf{x}_k, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k + \delta \mathbf{u}_k) + J_{k+1}(f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k + \delta \mathbf{x}_k, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_k + \delta \mathbf{u}_k))$

Using a 2nd order Taylor Expansion,

$$Q_k(\delta \mathbf{x}_k, \delta \mathbf{u}_k) \approx Q_k(0, 0) + \nabla Q_k^T \begin{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x}_k \\ \delta \mathbf{u}_k \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x}_k \\ \delta \mathbf{u}_k \end{bmatrix} \nabla^2 Q_k \begin{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x}_k \\ \delta \mathbf{u}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

The optimal control perturbation is

 $\delta \boldsymbol{u}_k^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\delta \boldsymbol{u}} Q(\delta \boldsymbol{x}_k, \delta \boldsymbol{u})$

Expanding the approximation, one gets

$$Q_{k}(\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k}, \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}) \approx Q_{k}(0, 0) + \underbrace{Q_{x,k}^{\top} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k} + Q_{u,k}^{\top} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}}_{\text{first order terms}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\top} Q_{xx,k} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} Q_{uu,k} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k} + \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\top} Q_{xu,k} \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{k}$$

second order terms

Apply conditions for optimality (gradient equal to zero):

$$Q_{u,k} + Q_{ux,k}\delta \boldsymbol{x}_k + Q_{uu,k}\delta \boldsymbol{u}_k = 0$$
$$\implies \delta \boldsymbol{u}_k^* = -Q_{uu,k}^{-1}Q_{u,k} - Q_{uu,k}^{-1}Q_{ux,k}\delta \boldsymbol{x}_k$$

As was the case with LQR, the optimal control has the form

$$\delta \boldsymbol{u}_k^* = \boldsymbol{l}_k + L_k \delta \boldsymbol{x}_k$$

Algorithm proceeds via same forward/backward passes as iLQR

iLQR vs. DDP

Quadratic approximations for the state-action value function (Q function):

$$Q_{k} = c_{k} + v_{k+1}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{x},k} = c_{\mathbf{x},k} + f_{\mathbf{x},k}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{u},k} = c_{\mathbf{u},k} + f_{\mathbf{u},k}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{xx},k} = c_{\mathbf{xx},k} + f_{\mathbf{x},k}^{T} V_{k+1} f_{\mathbf{x},k} + \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} \cdot f_{\mathbf{xx},k}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{uu},k} = c_{\mathbf{uu},k} + f_{\mathbf{u},k}^{T} V_{k+1} f_{\mathbf{u},k} + \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} \cdot f_{\mathbf{uu},k}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{ux},k} = c_{\mathbf{ux},k} + f_{\mathbf{u},k}^{T} V_{k+1} f_{\mathbf{x},k} + \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} \cdot f_{\mathbf{ux},k}$$

DDP contains second-order dynamics derivatives compared to iLQR

Next time

- Direct methods for trajectory optimization
- Sequential convex programming