

AA203

Optimal and Learning-based Control

Constrained optimization



Stanford
University



Preliminaries

- constrained set usually specified in terms of equality and inequality constraints
- sophisticated collections of optimality conditions, involving some auxiliary variables, called Lagrange multipliers

Viewpoints:

- penalty viewpoint: we disregard the constraints and we add to the cost a high penalty for violating them
- feasibility direction viewpoint: it relies on the fact that at a local minimum there can be no cost improvement when traveling a small distance along a direction that leads to feasible points

Outline

1. Optimization with equality constraints
2. Optimization with inequality constraints

Outline

1. Optimization with equality constraints
2. Optimization with inequality constraints

Optimization with equality constraints

$$\begin{aligned} & \min f(\mathbf{x}) \\ & \text{subject to } h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are C^1
- notation: $\mathbf{h} := (h_1, \dots, h_m)$

Lagrange multipliers

- **Basic Lagrange multiplier theorem:** for a given local minimum \mathbf{x}^* there exist scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ called Lagrange multipliers such that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$$

- Example

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 2 \end{array} \quad \text{Solution: } \mathbf{x}^* = (-1, -1)$$

Lagrange multipliers

Interpretations:

1. The cost gradient $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ belongs to the subspace spanned by the constraint gradients at \mathbf{x}^* . That is, the constrained solution will be at a point of tangency of the constrained cost curves and the constraint function
2. The cost gradient $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is orthogonal to the subspace of first order feasible variations

$$V(\mathbf{x}^*) = \{ \Delta \mathbf{x} \mid \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*)' \Delta \mathbf{x} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \}$$

This is the subspace of variations $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ for which the vector $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^* + \Delta \mathbf{x}$ satisfies the constraint $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ up to first order. Hence, at a local minimum, the first order cost variation $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)' \Delta \mathbf{x}$ is zero for all variations $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ in this subspace

NOC

Theorem: NOC

Let \mathbf{x}^* be a local minimum of f subject to $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and assume that the constraint gradients $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*), \dots, \nabla h_m(\mathbf{x}^*)$ are linearly independent. Then there exists a unique vector $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, called a Lagrange multiplier vector, such that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$$

2nd order NOC and SOC are provided in the lecture notes

Discussion

- A feasible vector \mathbf{x} for which $\{\nabla h_i(\mathbf{x})\}_i$ are linearly independent is called *regular*
- Proof relies on transforming the constrained problem into an unconstrained one
 1. penalty approach: we disregard the constraint while adding to the cost a high penalty for violating them \rightarrow extends to inequality constraints
 2. elimination approach: we view the constraints as a system of m equations with n unknowns, and we express m of the variables in terms of the remaining $n - m$, thereby reducing the problem to an unconstrained problem
- There may not exist a Lagrange multiplier for a local minimum that is not regular

The Lagrangian function

- It is often convenient to write the necessary conditions in terms of the Lagrangian function $L: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i h_i(\mathbf{x})$$

- Then, if \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum which is regular, the NOC conditions are compactly written

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$$

System of $n + m$ equations
with $n + m$ unknowns

Outline

1. Optimization with equality constraints
2. Optimization with inequality constraints

Optimization with inequality constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & g_j(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r \end{aligned}$$

- f, h_i, g_j are C^1
- In compact form (ICP problem)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \\ & \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Active constraints

For any feasible point, the set of active inequality constraints is denoted

$$A(\mathbf{x}) := \{j \mid g_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

If $j \notin A(\mathbf{x})$, then the constraint is *inactive* at \mathbf{x} .

Key points

- if \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of the ICP, then \mathbf{x}^* is also a local minimum for the identical ICP without the inactive constraints
- at a local minimum, active inequality constraints can be treated to a large extent as equalities

Active constraints

- Hence, if \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of ICP, then \mathbf{x}^* is also a local minimum for the **equality** constrained problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \min && f(\mathbf{x}) \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \\ & && g_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \forall j \in A(\mathbf{x}^*) \end{aligned}$$

Active constraints

- Thus if \mathbf{x}^* is regular, there exist Lagrange multipliers $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $\mu_j^*, j \in A(\mathbf{x}^*)$, such that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^* \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j \in A(\mathbf{x}^*)} \mu_j^* \nabla g_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$$

- or equivalently

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^* \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j^* \nabla g_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$$

$$\mu_j^* = 0 \quad \forall j \notin A(\mathbf{x}^*) \quad (\text{indeed } \mu_j^* \geq 0)$$

Karush-Kuhn-Tucker NOC

Define the Lagrangian function

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mu) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i h_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j g_j(\mathbf{x})$$

Theorem: KKT NOC

Let \mathbf{x}^* be a local minimum for ICP where f, h_i, g_j are C^1 and assume \mathbf{x}^* is regular (equality + active inequality constraints gradients are linearly independent). Then, there exist unique Lagrange multiplier vectors $(\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_m^*), (\mu_1^*, \dots, \mu_m^*)$ such that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) = 0$$

$$\mu_j^* \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r$$

$$\mu_j^* = 0 \quad \forall j \notin A(\mathbf{x}^*)$$

Example

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} & 2x + y \leq 2 \end{array}$$

Solution: (0,0)

Next time

Dynamic programming

