Principles of Robot Autonomy I

State space dynamics – definitions and modeling





Agenda

- State space dynamics
 - Definitions
 - Modeling (kinematic and dynamic models)
 - Special case: LTI systems and linearization
- Readings
 - B. Siciliano, L. Sciavicco, L. Villani, G. Oriolo. Robotics: Modelling, Planning, and Control. Springer, 2008 (chapter 11)
 - Chapter 1 in PoRA lecture notes

State space models

- We can control a robot through the *inputs* to the system (e.g., motor torques, rotor thrusts, etc.)
- The *state* of a robot is a collection of variables (e.g., position, velocity) that change over time in response to the inputs
- A state space model

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t))$$

is a mathematical description of how the state x evolves over time (i.e., \dot{x} or ${}^{dx}/{}_{dt}$) in response to the inputs u

Example: double-integrator

- Suppose we can control the force pushing on a cart
- Newton's second law tells us that $F = m\ddot{s}$



• Let
$$x = (s, v)$$
 with $v = \dot{s}$, and $u = \frac{F}{m}$. Then we can write
 $\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u}_{1}$

f(x,u)

Kinematic models

- Kinematic models are mathematical models that describe the motion of a system without consideration of forces
- Kinematic models typically result from *geometric constraints* on the motion of a system, before considering any forces
- For example, the "unicycle" with *generalized coordinates* $q = (x, y, \theta)$ should not slip sideways, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{G(q)} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X} \dot{q} = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\sin \theta - \cos \theta & 0 \right]}_{X}$$

9/30/2024

Holonomic and nonholonomic constraints

• More broadly, constraints on degrees of freedom come in various forms:

$$\underbrace{h(q) = 0}_{g(q, \dot{q}) = 0} \qquad \underbrace{g(q, \dot{q}) = 0}_{G(q)\dot{q} = 0}$$

holonomic nonholonomic semi–holonomic / Pfaffian

Pfaffian constraints are a special, yet common case of nonholonomic constraints

• If
$$G(q)$$
 has k rows (constraints) and d columns (DOFs), then
 $\dot{q} = \sum_{j=1}^{d-k} u_j b_j(q) = [b_1(q) \quad b_2(q) \quad \cdots \quad b_{d-k}(q)]u = B(q)u$
where $\{b_j(q)\}_{j=1}^{d-k}$ is a basis for admissible velocities, i.e., the null space of $G(q)$.

Back to unicycle example

• The "unicycle" with DOFs $q = (x, y, \theta)$ should not slip sideways, i.e.,



• Physically, $u_1 = v$ is the forward velocity of the wheel, and $u_2 = \omega$ is its rotational steering velocity

Unicycle and differential drive models

We can alternate between these kinematic models via the one-to-one input mappings:

$$\omega = \frac{r}{2}(\omega_r + \omega_l) \quad \omega = \frac{r}{L}(\omega_r - \omega_l)$$

AA 174A | Lecture 3



References:

- J.-P. Laumond. *Robot motion planning and control*. 1998.
- S. LaValle. *Planning algorithms.* 2006.

From kinematic to dynamic models

- A kinematic state space model should be interpreted only as a subsystem of a more general dynamical model
- Improvements to the previous kinematic models can be made by placing integrators in front of action variables
- For example, for the unicycle model, one can set the speed as the integration of an action *a* representing acceleration, that is

$$\dot{x} = v\cos\theta, \quad \dot{y} = v\sin\theta, \quad \dot{\theta} = \omega, \quad \dot{v} = a$$

states: (x, y, θ, v) inputs: (ω, a)

Linear time-invariant models

- In general, $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$ is nonlinear, which can make it difficult to analyze
- Linear time-invariant (LTI) models take the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

with constant matrices A and B

- For $\dot{x} = \alpha x$ with $x(0) = x_0$, the solution is $x(t) = x_0 e^{\alpha t}$. If $\alpha < 0$, the system is *stable*, i.e., x(t) converges to zero over time
- For $\dot{x} = Ax$ with $x(0) = x_0$, the solution is $x(t) = x_0 e^{At}$, where e^{At} is the matrix exponential
- Analogously to the scalar case, if Real(λ) < 0 for each eigenvalue λ of A, then the system is stable

Example: PD control for a double-integrator

• Let
$$x = (s, v)$$
 with $v = \dot{s}$, and $u = \frac{F}{m}$. Then
 $\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u$

A
B

• Choose $u = -\kappa_p s - \kappa_d v$. Then
 $\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\kappa_p & -\kappa_d \end{bmatrix} x$
with eigenvalues $\lambda = -\frac{\kappa_d}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa_d^2 - 4\kappa_p}$. If $\kappa_p > 0$ and $\kappa_d > 0$, then
Real $(\lambda) < 0$ for each eigenvalue, so the cart converges to a stand-still at $s = 0$

• This is nice, can we use linear control tools if the system is non-linear?

AA 174A | Lecture 3

Linearization

- Linearization approximates a f(x)nonlinear function f near \overline{x} by a line, i.e., linear function
- The "slope" of the line is the derivative of f at x̄. The change in f(x̄) near x̄ is the slope multiplied by the distance from x̄
- The quality of the approximation can vary with the linearization point \bar{x} and distance from \bar{x}



Linearization of non-linear state-space models

• For the nonlinear system $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$, the linearization around (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) is

$$\dot{x} \approx f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})(x - \bar{x}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})(u - \bar{u})$$

A Since *x* and *u* can be vectors, we generalize derivatives to Jacobian matrices

• If (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) is an *equilibrium*, i.e., $f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = 0$, we can consider an LTI approximation of the system near (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) , with state $\Delta x = x - \bar{x}$ and input $\Delta u = u - \bar{u}$:

$$\dot{\Delta x} = A\Delta x + B\Delta u$$

• When (x, u) is near (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) , we can use tools from linear systems analysis and control on nonlinear systems -- more on this later with LQR control!

Example: Inverted pendulum

• The dynamics are described by $m\ell^2\ddot{\theta} = mg\ell\sin\theta + u$. In state space form with $x = (\theta, \dot{\theta})$, they are

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \frac{g}{\ell} \sin x_1 + \frac{1}{m\ell^2} u \end{pmatrix}$$

• Since (x, u) = 0 is an equilibrium, the linearization here is $\dot{x} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \frac{g}{\ell}\theta + u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g_{/\ell} & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1_{/m\ell^2} \end{bmatrix} u$

• This is close to a double-integrator! We could try $\frac{1}{m\ell^2}u = -\left(\frac{g}{\ell} + \kappa_p\right)\theta - \kappa_d\dot{\theta}$ to stabilize the pendulum near the upright equilibrium

 θ

U

mg

Example: Inverted pendulum

• We try $\frac{1}{m\ell^2}u = -\left(\frac{g}{\ell} + \kappa_p\right)\theta - \kappa_d\dot{\theta}$ to stabilize the pendulum near the upright equilibrium:





• We will later discuss how we actually simulate this system on a computer

Next time



AA 174A | Lecture 3