Principles of Robot Autonomy I

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM)





Agenda

- Aim
 - General SLAM problem
 - EKF SLAM
- Readings
 - Chapter 17 in PoRA lecture notes

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

The SLAM problem: given measurements $z_{1:t}$ and controls $u_{1:t}$, find the path (or pose) of the robot and acquire a map of the environment



AA 174A | Lecture 18

Forms of SLAM

• Online SLAM problem: estimate the posterior over the momentary pose along with the map

$$p(x_t, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$
 or $p(x_t, m, c_t \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$

• Full SLAM problem: estimate posterior over the entire path along with the map

$$p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$
 or $p(x_{1:t}, m, c_t \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$

Graphical models of SLAM

Online SLAM



Full SLAM



EKF SLAM

- Historically the earliest SLAM algorithm
- Key idea: apply EKF to online SLAM using maximum likelihood data association
- Assumptions:
 - 1. Gaussian assumption for motion and perception noise, and Gaussian approximation for belief (essential)
 - 2. Feature-based maps (essential)
- Two versions of the problem
 - 1. Correspondence variables are known
 - 2. Correspondence variables are not known (usual case)

EKF SLAM with known correspondences

- Similar to EKF localization algorithm with known correspondences
- Key difference: in addition to estimate the robot pose x_t , the EKF SLAM algorithm also estimates the coordinates of all landmarks
- Define combined state vector

$$y_t := \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ m \end{pmatrix} = (x, y, \theta, m_{1,x}, m_{1,y}, m_{2,x}, m_{2,y} \dots m_{N,x}, m_{N,y})^T$$

3 + 2N vector

• Goal: calculate the online posterior

$$p(y_t \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$

Motion and sensing model

- (Following discussion is for illustration purposes; setup can be generalized to other motion and sensing models)
- Assume motion model with state $x_t = (x, y, \theta)$

$$y_t = g(u_t, y_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t, \qquad \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R_t), \qquad G_t := J_g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})$$

where we assume that the landmarks are *static*, that is

- 1. $g(u_t, y_{t-1})$ is a 3+2N vector, whose last 2N components are the same as those in y_{t-1}
- 2. R_t has zero entries, except for the top left 3 x 3 block

Motion and sensing model

• Assume range and bearing measurement model

$$z_t^i = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{(m_{j,x} - x)^2 + (m_{j,y} - y)^2} \\ \operatorname{atan2}(m_{j,y} - y, m_{j,x} - x) - \theta \end{pmatrix}}_{:=h(y_t, j)} + \delta_t, \qquad \delta_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, Q_t), \quad Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\phi^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Usual linear approximation for sensing model (with $j = c_t^i$) $\partial h(\overline{\mu}_t, j)$

$$h(y_t, j) \approx h(\overline{\mu}_t, j) + H_t^i(y_t - \overline{\mu}_t), \quad \text{where } H_t^i := \frac{\partial h(\mu_t, j)}{\partial y_t}$$

• Since h depends only on x_t and m_j , H_t^i can be factored as

$$H_t^i = h_t^i F_{x,j}$$

Motion and sensing model

• First term, a 2 x 5 matrix, is the Jacobian of $h(y_t, j)$ at $\overline{\mu}_t$ w.r.t. x_t and m_j :

$$h_t^i = \frac{\partial h(\overline{\mu}_t, j)}{\partial (x_t, m_j)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\mu}_{t,x} - \overline{\mu}_{j,x}}{\sqrt{q_{t,j}}} & \frac{\overline{\mu}_{t,y} - \overline{\mu}_{j,y}}{\sqrt{q_{t,j}}} & 0 & \frac{\overline{\mu}_{j,x} - \overline{\mu}_{t,x}}{\sqrt{q_{t,j}}} & \frac{\overline{\mu}_{j,y} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y}}{\sqrt{q_{t,j}}} \\ \frac{\overline{\mu}_{j,y} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y}}{q_{t,j}} & \frac{\overline{\mu}_{t,x} - \overline{\mu}_{j,x}}{q_{t,j}} & -1 & \frac{\overline{\mu}_{t,y} - \overline{\mu}_{j,y}}{q_{t,j}} & \frac{\overline{\mu}_{j,x} - \overline{\mu}_{t,x}}{q_{t,j}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where
$$q_{t,j}:=(\overline{\mu}_{j,x}-\overline{\mu}_{t,x})^2+(\overline{\mu}_{j,y}-\overline{\mu}_{t,y})^2$$

• Second term, a 5 x (3+2N) matrix, maps h_t^i into H_t^i :

$$F_{x,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 2j-2 & & 2N-2j \end{pmatrix}$$
AA 174A | Lecture 18

12/5/2024

Initialization

• Initial belief expressed as

$$\mu_0 = (0, 0, 0 \dots 0)^T$$



Initialization

• When a landmark is observed for the first time, the landmark estimate $(\bar{\mu}_{j,x}, \bar{\mu}_{j,y})^T$ is initialized with the expected position, that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu}_{j,x} \\ \overline{\mu}_{j,y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu}_{t,x} \\ \overline{\mu}_{t,y} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_t^i \cos(\phi_t^i + \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}) \\ r_t^i \sin(\phi_t^i + \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}) \end{pmatrix}$$

EKF SLAM algorithm

- Similar to EKF localization; main differences:
 - Augmented state vector
 - Augmented dynamics (with trivial dynamics for the landmarks)
 - Initialization of unseen landmarks
 - Augmented measurement Jacobian

Data: $(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}), u_t, z_t, c_t$ **Result:** (μ_t, Σ_t) $\overline{\mu}_t = g(u_t, \mu_{t-1});$ $\overline{\Sigma}_t = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R_t;$ foreach $z_t^i = (r_t^i, \phi_t^i)^T$ do $j = c_t^i;$ if landmark j never seen before then $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu}_{j,x} \\ \overline{\mu}_{i,y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu}_{t,x} \\ \overline{\mu}_{t,y} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_t^i \cos(\phi_t^i + \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}) \\ r_t^i \sin(\phi_t^i + \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}) \end{pmatrix};$ end $\hat{z}_t^i = \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\overline{\mu}_{j,x} - \overline{\mu}_{t,x})^2 + (\overline{\mu}_{j,y} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y})^2}}{\operatorname{atan2}(\overline{\mu}_{j,y} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y}, \overline{\mu}_{j,x} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y}) - \overline{\mu}_{t,y}} \right);$ $H_t^i = h_t^i F_{x,j};$ $S_t^i = H_t^i \,\overline{\Sigma}_t \, [H_t^i]^T + Q_t;$ $K_t^i = \overline{\Sigma}_t \, [H_t^i]^T \, [S_t^i]^{-1};$ $\overline{\mu}_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t^i (z_t^i - \hat{z}_t^i);$ $\overline{\Sigma}_t = (I - K^i_t H^i_t) \,\overline{\Sigma}_t;$ end $\mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t$ and $\Sigma_t = \Sigma_t$; Return (μ_t, Σ_t)

AA 174A | Lecture 18

Example



EKF SLAM with unknown correspondences

- Key idea: use an incremental maximum likelihood estimator to determine correspondences
- Similar to EKF localization with unknown correspondences, but now we also need to create hypotheses for new landmarks
- Caveat: maximum likelihood data association often makes the algorithm brittle, as it is not possible to revise past data associations

EKF SLAM with unknown correspondences

AA 174A | Lecture 18

- In the measurement update loop, we first create the hypothesis of a new landmark
- A new landmark is created if the Mahalanobis distance to all existing landmarks exceeds the value α

```
Data: (\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}), u_t, z_t, N_{t-1}
Result: (\mu_t, \Sigma_t)
N_t = N_{t-1};
                                                                                                              Hypothesis
\overline{\mu}_t = g(u_t, \mu_{t-1});
                                                                                                              for new
\overline{\Sigma}_t = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R_t;
                                                                                                              landmark
foreach z_t^i = (r_t^i, \phi_t^i)^T do
          \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu}_{N_t+1,x} \\ \overline{\mu}_{N_t+1,y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu}_{t,x} \\ \overline{\mu}_{t,y} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_t^i \cos(\phi_t^i + \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}) \\ r_t^i \sin(\phi_t^i + \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}) \end{pmatrix};
        for k = 1 to N_t + 1 do
                \hat{z}_t^k = \left( \frac{\sqrt{(\overline{\mu}_{j,x} - \overline{\mu}_{t,x})^2 + (\overline{\mu}_{j,y} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y})^2}}{\operatorname{atan2}(\overline{\mu}_{j,y} - \overline{\mu}_{t,y}, \overline{\mu}_{j,x} - \overline{\mu}_{t,x}) - \overline{\mu}_{t,\theta}} \right);
                H_t^k = h_t^k F_{x,k};
                S_t^k = H_t^k \,\overline{\Sigma}_t \, [H_t^k]^T + Q_t;
              \pi_{k} = (z_{t}^{i} - \hat{z}_{t}^{k})^{T} [S_{t}^{k}]^{-1} (z_{t}^{i} - \hat{z}_{t}^{k}); Mahalanobis
        end
                                                                                                        distance
        \pi_{N_t+1} = \alpha;
        j(i) = \operatorname{argmin}_k \pi_k; Hypothesis test
        N_t = \max\{N_t, j(i)\};
       K_t^i = \overline{\Sigma}_t \, [H_t^{j(i)}]^T \, [S_t^{j(i)}]^{-1};
       \overline{\mu}_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t^i (z_t^i - \hat{z}_t^{j(i)});
        \overline{\Sigma}_t = (I - K_t^i H_t^{j(i)}) \,\overline{\Sigma}_t;
end
\mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t and \Sigma_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t;
Return (\mu_t, \Sigma_t)
```

12/5/2024

Making EKF SLAM robust

- A key issue is represented by the fact that fake landmarks might be created; furthermore, EKF can diverge if nonlinearities are large
- Several techniques exist to mitigate such issues
 - 1. Outlier rejection schemes, for example via provisional landmark lists
 - 2. Strategies to enhance the distinctiveness of landmarks
 - Spatial arrangement
 - Signatures
 - Enforcing geometric constraints
- Dilemma of EKF SLAM: accurate localization typically requires dense maps, but EKF requires sparse maps due to quadratic update complexity

Summary: Gaussian filtering

• Key ideas:

- Represent a belief with a Gaussian distribution
- Assume all uncertainty sources are Gaussian
- Pros:
 - Runs online
 - Well understood
 - Works well when uncertainty is low
- Cons:
 - Unimodal estimate
 - States must be well approximated by a Gaussian
 - Works poorly when uncertainty is high

Final considerations

- A quite recent overview of SLAM (with strong focus on graph SLAM): C. Cadena, L. Carlone, H. Carrillo, Y. Latif, D. Scaramuzza, J. Neira, I. Reid, and J. J. Leonard. "Past, present, and future of simultaneous localization and mapping: Toward the robust-perception age." IEEE Transactions on Robotics 32, no. 6 (2016): 1309-1332.
- Popular software packages
 - <u>https://www.openslam.org/</u>: comprehensive list of open-source SLAM software
 - <u>https://github.com/pamela-project/slambench</u>: popular benchmark framework
 - Commercial SDKs: ARCore/ARKit from Google/Apple, Oculus Insight

Thanks for a great quarter!